

[COUNSEL LISTED ON SIGNATURE PAGE]

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

LASER DESIGN INTERNATIONAL, LLC and
NORWOOD OPERATING COMPANY,

Plaintiffs,

v.

BJ CRYSTAL, INC., a California corporation;
CRYSTAL MAGIC, INC., a Florida
corporation; U.C. LASER, INC., a New Jersey
corporation; VITRO LASER GROUP U.S.A.,
INC., a Nevada corporation; JIMAC
MARKETING, INC., a Canadian corporation;
CONCORD INDUSTRIES, INC., a Connecticut
corporation; CERION GMBH, a German limited
liability company; CRYSTAL CAPTURE INC.,
a Texas corporation; CRYSTAL CAPTURE
INTERNATIONAL, LLC, a Nevada limited
liability company; G.W. PARTNERS
INTERNATIONAL, INC., a California
corporation; VITRO LASER GMBH, a German
limited liability company; VITRO
INTERNATIONAL, LLC, a Nevada limited
liability company; VITRO USA, LLC, a Nevada
limited liability company; MERITAGE
GRAPHICS, INC., a Nevada corporation;
CRYSTAL LASER CONCEPTS, LTD., a
Nevada limited liability company; 3DLI, Inc., a
Nevada corporation; ART GOLDMAN; SCOTT
STANKO; OTHMAR VAN DAM; and DOES
1-19,

Defendants.

AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS

Lead Case No. C 03-1179 JSW (MEJ)
Consolidated with No. C 03-3905 JSW

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER SETTING SETTLEMENT
CONFERENCE DATE**

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER
C 03 01179 JSW (MEJ)**

1 Plaintiffs, Laser Design International, LLC and Norwood Operating Company
 2 (“Plaintiffs”), and Defendants BJ Crystal, Inc., Crystal Magic, Inc., Crystal Capture, Inc., Jimac
 3 Marketing, Inc., Meritage Graphics, Inc., Cerion GmbH, Concord Industries, Inc., Vitro Laser
 4 Group U.S.A., and Vitro Laser GmbH (collectively “Defendants”) by their undersigned counsel,
 5 hereby submit the following Stipulation and Proposed Order Setting Settlement Conference Date.
 6 By and through counsel, Plaintiffs and Defendants stipulate as follows:

7 1. On or about February 3, 2006, the parties notified this Court that they could not agree
 8 on a private mediator, and asked that the case be referred to a magistrate judge for a settlement
 9 conference.

10 2. The case was subsequently referred on March 28, 2006 to Magistrate Judge Brazil for
 11 this settlement conference.

12 3. The last day to have this settlement conference was set as April 21, 2006. However,
 13 Judge Brazil had no availability on or before this date, and his clerk has proposed dates in late
 14 May and June. The parties have selected and agreed to one of these available dates, which is
 15 June 6, 2006.

16 4. Accordingly, the parties jointly request that this Court issue an Order allowing a
 17 settlement conference to take place with Judge Brazil on June 6, 2006, or as soon thereafter as
 18 may be convenient for that court.

19 5. No other dates set by this Court, including the last day for a hearing date on summary
 20 judgment motions and the trial date, are effected by this request.

21 Dated: April 26, 2006

22 COOLEY GODWARD LLP

23
 24 By: /s/
 25 Brian E. Mitchell
 26 Attorneys for Plaintiffs
 27 Laser Design International, LLC
 28 and Norwood Operating Company

STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER
 C 03 01179 JSW (MEJ)


1
2 Dated: April 26, 2006

3 PERKINS COIE, LLP

4
5 By: /s/
6 Scott Eads
7 Attorneys for Defendants
8 BJ Crystal, Inc., Crystal Magic, Inc., Crystal
9 Capture, Inc., Jimac Marketing, Inc.,
10 Meritage Graphics, Inc., Cerion GmbH,
11 Concord Industries, Inc., Vitro Laser Group
12 U.S.A., and Vitro Laser GmbH

13
14 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

15 Dated: May 1, 2006

16
17 By: 
18 HONORABLE JEFFREY S. WHITE
19 United States District Judge

20
21 Filer's Attestation: Pursuant to General Order No. 45, § X(B), I attest under penalty of
22 perjury that concurrence in the filing of the document has been obtained from its signatory.
23

24 Dated: April 26, 2006

25 By: /s/ Brian Mitchell